

CS310

Complexity

Section 7.1

November 22, 2010

Running time

- $A = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$
 - how long (how many steps?) will it take a single-tape TM to accept or reject a string?
- The running time
 - input of length n
 - worst case running time
- M is a “ $f(n)$ time TM”

Example

- $f(n) = 5n^3 + 4n^2 + 6n + 1$
 - the goal here is to see how the running time grows as n increases
 - for large n , $5n^3$ dominates this equation
 - coefficient 5 is immaterial
 - we say $f(n) = n^3$

Big Oh

$O()$

-
- Asymptotic analysis
 - estimate runtime of algorithm (or TM) on large inputs
 - only look at highest order term
 - allows us to compare runtime of two algorithms

Definition: Big Oh

- f, g are functions: $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$
 $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if positive ints c and n_0 exist
such that for every int $n \geq n_0$
-

$$f(n) \leq c * g(n)$$

$g(n)$ is an *asymptotic upper bound* for $f(n)$
some constant multiple of $g(n)$ eventually dominates $f(n)$

- \mathbb{R}^+ : set of non-negative real numbers

Example

- $f(n) = 5n^3 + 2n^2 + 22n + 6$
 - $O(f(n)) = n^3$
-
- let $c = 6$ and $n_0 = 10$
 - $5n^3 + 2n^2 + 22n + 6 \leq 6n^3$
 - for every $n \geq n_0$
 - $O(f(n)) = n^4$ as well, but we want the tightest upper bound

Logarithms

- if $x = \log_2 n$ then $2^x = n$

$$\text{so } \log_b 2^x = \log_b n$$

$$\text{so } x \log_b 2 = \log_b n$$

$$\text{so } x = (\log_b n) / (\log_b 2)$$

so $\log_b (n) = O(\log_2 n)$ for any base

because $\log_b 2$ is a constant

Example

- $f(n) = 3n \log_2 n + 5n \log_2 (\log_2 n) + 2$

$$f(n) = O(g(n)) = ?$$

Since $\log_2 n \leq n$ then

$$\log_2 (\log_2 n) \leq \log_2 (n)$$

$$\text{so } f(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$$

Analyzing Algorithms

- $A = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$

on input of length n :

- 1) scan, reject if 0 found to right of a 1
- 2) if both 0's and 1's remain, scan, cross off single 0, single 1
- 3) if 0's remain after 1's crossed off or conversely, reject. otherwise accept.

Analysis

- Step 1: scan, verify: n steps forward, n steps back: $2n$ steps so $O(n)$
- Step 2: scan, cross off 0 and 1 each scan. Each scan uses $O(n)$ steps, $n/2$ scans *at most*, so $O(n^2)$
- Step 3: Scan, accept or reject $O(n)$
- Total: $O(n) + O(n^2) + O(n)$
– $O(n^2)$

Algorithm

- If we had a two tape TM, could we do this in $O(n)$?
 - linear time?
-

Complexity relationships between models

- Theorem 7.8: let $t(n) \geq n$, every $t(n)$ time multitape TM has an equivalent $O((t(n))^2)$ time single-tape TM.
- Theorem 7.9: Every $t(n) \geq n$ time ND single tape TM has an equivalent $2^{O(t(n))}$ time deterministic single tape TM