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## Reduction

- Convert one problem (A) into a second problem (B)
- solution to B can be used to solve A
- If B is decidable, so is A
- If A is undecidable, so is B
- Is Z undecidable? Prove it is reducible to Y , which has previously been shown to be undecidable
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## Halting Problem

$\operatorname{HALT}_{\mathrm{TM}}=\{<\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{w}>\mid \mathrm{M}$ is a TM and M halts on input w$\}$

## undecidable?

- Proof: Assume $\mathrm{HALT}_{\text {тм }}$ is decidable, show that if true, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{TM}}$ is decidable.
- Contradiction!
- $\mathrm{A}_{\text {TM }}$ is reducible to $\mathrm{HALT}_{\text {TM }}$


## Proof

- Assume TM R decides $\operatorname{HALT}_{\text {тм }}$
- Use R to build TM S that decides $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{TM}}$
- S: Run TM R on $<\mathrm{M}$, w $>$
- If R rejects, reject
- If $R$ accepts, run $M$ on w until $M$ halts
- If $M$ accepts, accept, if $M$ rejects, reject
- If R decides $\mathrm{HALT}_{\mathrm{TM}}$ then $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{TM}}$ is decidable
- $\mathrm{A}_{\text {TM }}$ is reducible to $\mathrm{HALT}_{\text {TM }}$


## TM Equality

- $\mathrm{EQ}_{\mathrm{TM}}=\left\{<\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}>\mid \mathrm{M}_{1}\right.$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are TMs and $\left.\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)\right\}$
- $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{TM}}=\{<\mathrm{M}>\mid \mathrm{M}$ is a TM and $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{M})=\varnothing\}$
- undecidable (see TH 5.2 p 189)
- Show that if $E Q_{\text {TM }}$ were decidable, so would be $\mathrm{E}_{\text {TM }}$
- Reduction from $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{TM}}$ to $\mathrm{EQ}_{\mathrm{TM}}$
$-\mathrm{E}_{\text {TM }}$ is a special case of $E Q_{\text {TM }}$ where $L\left(M_{i}\right)=\varnothing$


## Computation Histories

- List of configurations a TM goes through
- Configuration
- Current State
- Current Tape State
- Read/Write Head location
- Finite sequence that ends in accept or reject


## Linear Bounded Automaton

- Cannot move read/write head off portion of tape with original input
- May have larger tape alphabet than input alphabet
- Allows for larger memory than just number of tape positions
- Increase by constant factor


## Proof

- $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LBA}}=\{<\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{w}>\mid \mathrm{M}$ is an LBA that accepts string w\}
- Decidable
- Proof using computation histories
- LBA with $q$ states, $g$ symbols in tape alphabet, input tape of length $n$
- How many possible configurations are there?
- ???

