

Complexity

ZyBook 2.3-2.6

Motivation

```
void dequeue(Queue *psQ, void *pBuf, int size)
{
    // EXIT Node at the end of the list
    ListElementPtr psCurr;
    // ignore error checking
    psCurr = psQ->psList;

    // walk to the end of the list
    while( psCurr && psCurr->next)
    {
        psCurr = psCurr->psNext;
    }

    memcpy(pBuf, psCurr->pData, size);
    free(psCurr->psNext);
    psCurr->psNext = NULL;
}
```

```
void dequeue(Queue *psQ, void *pBuf, int size)
{
    // EXIT Node at the head of the list
    ListElementPtr psCurr = psQ->psList;
    memcpy(pBuf, psQ->psList->pData, size);
    psQ->psList = psQ->psList->next;
    free(psCurr);
}
```

```
struct ListElement
{
    void* pData;
    ListElementPtr psNext;
};

struct Queue
{
    ListElementPtr psList;
};
```

Goals

- How much storage is used?
 - space complexity
- How many “steps” are run?
 - runtime complexity
- Based on the size of the input data
 - what does this mean?

Two types of performance

- This algorithm takes N^2 steps to run
 - We care about this!
- This loop takes 10 instructions but I can rewrite it to take 9!
 - We don't care about this one in this class
 - Later in life, this might be important
 - Many people use this as an excuse to write bad code

Algorithmic Complexity

- How does the runtime increase as the problem size increases?
 - we care about growth, not actual numbers
 - I doubled my input size, how did my runtime grow?
- How do we measure the runtime?
 - language, machine independent!
- How do we measure the problem size?

Runtime Complexity

```
const int N = 500;  
int i;  
int aCounts[N];  
  
for (i = 0; i < N; ++ i)  
{  
    aCounts[i] = 0;  
}
```

- What value does the running time depend on?
 - changing what number changed the runtime?

Runtime Complexity

```
const int N = 500;  
int i, j;  
int aCounts[N][N];  
  
for (i = 0; i < N; ++i)  
{  
    for (j = 0; j < N; ++j)  
    {  
        aCounts[i][j] = 0;  
    }  
}
```

N? Complexity?

```
int isSorted (const int aNums[], int howmany)
{
    int bSorted;
    int i;

    bSorted = true;

    for (i = 0; i < (howmany - 1); ++i)
    {
        if (aNums[i] > aNums[i + 1])
        {
            bSorted = false;
        }
    }

    return bSorted;
}
```


Formal Definition: Big-O

- Computational Complexity
 - number of steps related to some data size, N
 - number of items
 - $O(N)$
 - $O(N^2)$
- Growth rate!
- Algorithm:

Big-O

- Growth, not exact runtime
- Can't (always) tell which algorithm is faster
- Concerned with very large inputs
- Asymptotic algorithm analysis

Big-O notation

- Find a function, $g(n)$, that describes the execution time
- $O(g(n))$
- We only include the highest order terms
 - also ignore constants
- $x^2 + x + 1$
 - Which term dominates this equation (as x gets big)?

Categories

- $O(1)$ - constant
- $O(\log_2 N)$ - logarithmic
- $O(N)$ - linear
- $O(N \log_2 N)$ - log linear
- $O(N^2)$ - quadratic
- $O(N^3)$ - cubic
- $O(2^N)$ - exponential
- $O(N!)$ - factorial

Growth Rates

N	$\log_2 N$	$N \log_2 N$	N^2	N^3	2^N
2	1	2	4	8	4
4	2	8	16	64	16
8	3	24	64	512	256
16	4	64	256	4096	65536

Scenarios

- Best Case
- Average Case
- Worst Case

Identify Big-O

	Average case				Worst case		
	Search	insert	Delete		Search	Insert	Delete
Unordered Array							
Ordered Array							
Singly Linked List							

Identify Big-O

Function	Best	Worst	Average
strLength			
strEqual			
strConcat			
strAppend			
strReverse			
strClear			
strCopy			

What is N?

```
typedef struct {  
    int length;  
    char data[1024];  
} String;
```

Formally

Function $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ iff there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $f(n) \leq cg(n)$ for all n , where $n \geq n_0$.

```
for (i = 0; i < howmany; ++i)
{
    for (j = i + 1; j < howmany; ++j)
    {
        if(aNums[i] < aNums[j])
        {
            temp = aNums[i];
            aNums[i] = aNums[j];
            aNums[j] = temp;
        }
    }
}
```

// how many times is the if() test executed?

Compiler

- `gcc -O# -o bin/runMe bin/driver.o bin/stack.o`
- `#` is zero to 3
 - zero: default, no speed optimization
 - 1, 2, 3 increasing levels of optimization (speed/size)
 - almost no chance of the debugger working