#### CS310

## Complexity Section 7.1

November 26, 2014

CS 310 – Fall 2014 Pacific University

# • $A = \{0^{\kappa}1^{\kappa} | k \ge 0 \}$

– how long (how many steps?) will it take a single-tape TM to accept or reject a string?

- The running time

   input of length n
   worst case running time
- M is a "f(n) time TM"

#### Example

- $f(n) = 5n^3 + 4n^2 + 6n + 1$ 
  - the goal here is to see how the running time grows as n increases
  - for large n, 5n<sup>3</sup> dominates this equation
  - coefficient 5 is immaterial
  - we say f(n) =  $n^3$

## Big Oh O()

- Asymptotic analysis
  - estimate runtime of algorithm (or TM) on large inputs
  - only look at highest order term
  - allows us to compare runtime of two algorithms

#### Definition: Big Oh

f, g are functions: f,g: N → R<sup>+</sup>
 f(n) = O(g(n)) if positive ints c and n<sub>0</sub> exist such that for every int n >= n<sub>0</sub>

 $f(n) \leq c^*g(n)$ 

g(n) is an asymptotic upper bound for f(n) some constant multiple of g(n) eventually dominates f(n)

• R<sup>+</sup>: set of non-negative real numbers

Pacific University

#### Example

- $f(n) = 5n^3 + 2n^2 + 22n + 6$
- O(f(n)) = n<sup>3</sup>
- let c = 6 and  $n_0 = 10$
- 5n<sup>3</sup> + 2n<sup>2</sup> + 22n + 6 <= 6n<sup>3</sup>
   for every n >= n<sub>0</sub>
- O(f(n)) = n<sup>4</sup> as well, but we want the tightest upper bound

Logarithmsx = log<sub>2</sub>n 2<sup>x</sup> = n

#### $\log_{b} n = \log_{2} n / \log_{2} b$

#### $f(n) = O(\log n)$

#### Example

f(n) = 3n log<sub>2</sub> n + 5nlog<sub>2</sub> (log<sub>2</sub> n) + 2 f(n) = O(g(n)) = ? Since log<sub>2</sub> n <= n then log<sub>2</sub> (log<sub>2</sub> n) <= log<sub>2</sub> (n) so f(n) = O(n log<sub>2</sub> n)

#### Analyzing Algorithms

A = {0<sup>k</sup>1<sup>k</sup> | k>=0}
 on input of length n:

 scan, reject if 0 found to right of a 1
 if both 0's and 1's remain, scan, cross off single 0, single 1
 if 0's remain after 1's crossed off or conversely, reject. otherwise accept.

#### Analysis

- Step 1: scan, verify: n steps forward, n steps back: 2n steps so O(n)
- Step 2: scan, cross off 0 and 1 each scan. Each scan uses O(n) steps, n/2 scans at most, so O(n<sup>2</sup>)
- Step 3: Scan, accept or reject O(n)
- Total:  $O(n) + O(n^2) + O(n) O(n^2)$

### Algorithm

- If we had a two tape TM, could we do this in O(n)?
  - linear time?

#### Complexity relationships between models

 Theorem 7.8: let t(n) >= n, every t(n) time multitape TM has an equivalent O((t(n)<sup>2</sup>) time single-tape TM.

 Theorem 7.9: Every t(n) >= n time ND single tape TM has an equivalent 2<sup>O(t(n))</sup> time deterministic single tape TM