More Inheritance

- Book: 9.9, 10.4
- More Inheritance
- Polymorphism
- Virtual Functions

Destructors

• The opposite of constructors

Constructor/Destructor Example

```
class Employee
{
  public:
    Employee (string name = "",
                string ssn = ""); // cout << ctor: Name</pre>
    ~Employee() { cout << "dtor " << mName << "\n"; }</pre>
  private:
    string mName;
    string mSSN;
```

};

What is the output?

```
void funct ();
int main ()
{
  Employee cTest1 ("Doug");
  funct ();
  Employee cTest3 ("Shereen");
  return EXIT SUCCESS;
}
void funct ()
{
Employee cTest2 ("Chadd");
}
```

Polymorphism

- Code is said to be polymorphic if executing the code with different types of data (objects) produces different behavior
- Program in the general, rather than program in the specific
- Virtual functions make polymorphism possible

UML



Motivation

```
// base class pointer
Employee *pcEmp = nullptr;
char choice;
cin>> choice;
switch(choice)
{
  case 'H':
    pcEmp = new HourlyEmployee();
    break;
  case 'S':
    pcEmp = new SalariedEmployee();
    break;
}
pcEmp->read(cin); // which read() is called?
                      // what do we want to have happen?
                        CS250 - Intro to CS II
```

Spring 2019

Base Pointers

- A base class pointer can point at a child class object
- Calling a function on the base class pointer calls a function in the base class
- To cause a function in the child class to be called, mark the function as virtual

Virtual Functions

- You can tell the compiler to select the more specialized version of a member function by declaring the member function to be a virtual function
- Declare a virtual function by prefixing its declaration with the word virtual

```
class Employee
                                                             Employee
                                                    -mName : string
                                                    -mSSN : string
{
                                                    +Employee(string, string)
   public:
                                                    +getName() const : void
      Employee (string name = ""
                                                   +getSSN() const : void
                     string ssn = "");
                                                    +print(ostream &) const : void
                                                    +read(istream &) : void
      string getName () const;
      string getSSN () const;
      virtual void print (ostream &rcOut) const;
```

virtual bool read(istream &rcIn);

```
private:
   string mName;
   string mSSN;
```

Spring 2019

```
class HourlyEmployee : public Employee
{
 public:
   HourlyEmployee ();
    HourlyEmployee (string name, string ssn,
                   double hourlyRate, double hoursWorked);
    double getPay() const;
    void addWorkedHours(double hours);
    virtual void print(ostream &rcOut) const;
    virtual bool read(istream &rcIn);
private:
    double mWageRate;
    double mHoursWorked;
};
```

bool HourlyEmployee::read(istream &rcIn) {

if(Employee::read(rcIn) &&
 rcIn >> mWageRate >> mHoursWorked)
{
 return true;
}

return false;

}

void displayEmployee(const Employee &rcEmp) {
 rcEmp.print(cout);
}

// You can pass a child class object
// by reference as a parent object

displayEmployee(*pcEmp);

UML



Virtual Destructor

Any potential base class should have a virtual destructor

• Why? The compiler performs static binding on any destructor not declared virtual

Which dtors get called?

Employee *pcEmp = new
HourlyEmployee();

delete pcEmp;

Without virtual dtor

With virtual dtor

STOP

What is the output? Why?

```
    If the following 2 changes are made to the previous program,
what is the output? Why?
```

```
virtual void Def1::Foo () {out << "Def1->Foo" << endl;}
virtual void Def2::Foo () {cout << "Def2->Foo" << endl;}
int main ()
{
    Def1 *pcDef1 = new Def1;
    Def1 *pcDef2 = new Def2;
    pcDef1->Foo();
    pcDef2->Foo();
    delete pcDef1;
    delete pcDef2;
}
```

Virtual Destructor

```
virtual ~Def1 () {cout << "~Def1" << endl;}</pre>
int main ()
{
  Def1 *pcDef1 = new Def1;
  Def1 *pcDef2 = new Def2;
  pcDef1->Foo();
  pcDef2->Foo();
  delete pcDef1;
  delete pcDef2;
}
```

Consider

```
class Def1
{
 public:
    Def1 (int id) : mID(id) {cout << "Def1" << mID << "\n";}</pre>
    ~Def1 () {cout << "~Def1 " << mID << "\n";}
    void Foo () {cout << "Def1->Foo\n";}
private:
 int mID;
};
class Def2 : public Def1
{
 public:
    Def2 (int id) : Def1(id) {cout << "Def2" << mID << "\n";}</pre>
    ~Def1 () {cout << "~Def2 " << mID << "\n";}
   void Foo () {cout << "Def2->Foo\n";}
};
```

What is the output? Why?

```
int main ()
{
    Def1 *pcDef1 = new Def1;
    Def2 *pcDef2 = new Def2;
    pcDef1->Foo ();
    pcDef2->Foo ();
    delete pcDef1;
    delete pcDef2;
```

}

What is the output? Why?

```
int main ()
{
    Def1 *pcDef1 = new Def1;
    Def1 *pcDef2 = new Def2; // type Def2 to Def1
    pcDef1->Foo();
    pcDef2->Foo();
    delete pcDef1;
    delete pcDef2;
```

}